

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/997,133	L'HEUREUX, GUY ALAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter H. Priest.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2006
Time: 2:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the Applicant's representative Mr. Peter H. Priest to resolve the claim 16 depending on the cancelled claim 12. Applicant suggested to amend the claim 16 to depend on claim 13. Applicant agreed and approved on 1/25/2006 to do Examiner's Amendment in order to overcome typo errors and to expedite the prosecution of the application.